

# The Role of Collective Bargaining in Public Sector Labor- Management Relationship

Biswanath Bhattacharjee

Department of Public Administration (under Management Science and Quantitative Methods), Gannon University, PA

**ABSTRACT:** *The relationship between collective bargaining and labor-management dynamics in public sector organizations plays a pivotal role in shaping both efficiency and equity within these institutions. This research endeavors to unravel the intricate nexus between collective bargaining practices and the dynamics of labor-management relationships, with a specific focus on how these interactions influence organizational efficiency and equity in the public sector. To commence this exploration, an extensive review of existing literature will be conducted to establish a comprehensive understanding of collective bargaining mechanisms, labor-management dynamics, and their implications within public sector settings. By examining theoretical frameworks, empirical studies, and case analyses, this review aims to identify key variables and factors that contribute to the complex interplay between collective bargaining and labor-management relations. Furthermore, this research will employ a mixed-methods approach, integrating quantitative analyses with qualitative insights to provide a holistic understanding of the subject matter. Quantitative data will be gathered through surveys and statistical analyses to quantify the impact of collective bargaining agreements on various efficiency metrics, such as productivity, cost-effectiveness, and service quality, within public sector organizations. Concurrently, qualitative methodologies, including interviews, focus groups, and case studies, will be utilized to capture the nuanced dynamics of labor-management interactions and their implications for equity and fairness in the workplace. The findings of this study are expected to yield valuable insights into the mechanisms through which collective bargaining influences efficiency and equity in public sector organizations. By elucidating the relationship between collective bargaining practices and labor-management dynamics, this research aims to inform policymakers, organizational leaders, and labor representatives on strategies for fostering productive and equitable work environments within the public sector. Ultimately, the outcomes of this research endeavor hold significant implications for both theory and practice, offering actionable recommendations for enhancing efficiency and equity in public sector organizations through informed collective bargaining practices and effective management of labor relations. By shedding light on this critical nexus, this study seeks to contribute to the advancement of knowledge in the fields of public administration, labor relations, and organizational management.*

**Key words:** *Collective bargaining, Labor-management dynamics, Public sector organizations, Efficiency, Equity*

## I INTRODUCTION

Public sector organizations play a critical role in governance and service provision, requiring a delicate balance between operational efficiency and workplace equity. Central to achieving this balance is the practice of collective bargaining, where employees, often represented by unions, negotiate with employers over terms such as wages, benefits, and working conditions (Nolan, 1978). This study seeks to explore the relationship between

collective bargaining and labor-management dynamics within public sector organizations, focusing on its influence on both efficiency and equity.

Collective bargaining can empower employees, reduce wage disparities, and promote fairness, making it an essential tool in shaping public sector workplaces (Bivens et al., 2017). However, variations in collective bargaining rights across states, especially in those with right-to-work laws, create challenges in maintaining equitable and efficient environments. By examining these dynamics, this research aims to inform policymakers and labor leaders on how best to navigate the evolving landscape of labor relations in the public sector.

## II LITERATURE REVIEW

### **Collective bargaining**

Collective bargaining refers to the negotiation process between employers and representatives of the employees, typically labor unions, to establish terms and conditions of employment, including wages, benefits, working hours, and other workplace policies (Kenton, 2024). This process aims to reconcile the interests of both parties and reach mutually acceptable agreements that govern the employment relationship. As defined by the International Labor Organization (ILO), collective bargaining is "the negotiations about working conditions and terms of employment between an employer, a group of employers or one or more employers' organizations, on the one hand, and one or more workers' organizations, on the other, with a view to reaching agreement" (ILO, 2022). Collective bargaining plays a pivotal role in shaping labor-management relations and is fundamental to the functioning of modern industrial relations systems, particularly in sectors where workers are organized into unions to collectively advocate for their rights and interests (AFL-CIO, 2024).

### **The Benefits of Collective Bargaining**

States that afford robust collective bargaining rights to public-sector workers tend to witness a narrowing of the pay gap between employees in the public and private sectors (Darvas, Gotti, & Sekut, 2023). This phenomenon is attributed to the empowerment of workers through collective bargaining, leading to fairer compensation structures and improved working conditions (Economic Policy Institute, 2021). Moreover, the presence of collective bargaining rights is linked to higher union density, which, in turn, correlates with a reduction in income inequality within these states. By enabling workers to negotiate collectively for their rights and interests, collective bargaining not only fosters greater equity in compensation but also contributes to a more equitable distribution of wealth and resources within society (Morrissey, 2021).

### **Organizing Efforts and Extending Rights**

In various states where collective bargaining was once prohibited in the public sector, there is a notable surge in organizing efforts among workers (Keefe, 2015). These endeavors aim to secure the extension of collective bargaining rights to public-sector employees, thereby addressing longstanding staffing shortages and fostering social mobility. By advocating for the expansion of collective bargaining, workers seek to gain a voice in negotiating their working conditions, wages, and benefits (ICWUC, 2024). This not only enhances job satisfaction and retention but also promotes greater economic equity by providing opportunities for upward mobility and ensuring fair treatment for all workers. As such, extending collective bargaining rights represents a crucial step towards building more inclusive and equitable workplaces within the public sector (National Labor Relations Board, n.d.).

### **Addressing Inequality**

The absence of collective bargaining rights exacerbates long-standing pay gaps, particularly affecting workers with higher education levels (Morrissey & Sherer, 2024). Strengthening collective bargaining rights represents a vital strategy for mitigating racial and gender inequality within the labor force (Folbre, 2021). By empowering workers to negotiate for fair wages and benefits collectively, regardless of their background or identity, collective bargaining helps level the playing field and ensure that all employees receive equitable compensation for their skills and contributions (eLeaP Editorial Team, 2023). This not only addresses systemic disparities in pay but also fosters a more inclusive and diverse workforce, where individuals from marginalized communities

have equal opportunities to thrive and succeed. Consequently, bolstering collective bargaining rights serves as a crucial tool in the ongoing fight against inequality and discrimination in the labor market (Banerjee et al., 2021).

### **Importance of Public-Sector Jobs**

Public-sector jobs serve as a vital pathway to the middle class, particularly for Black workers who often face discrimination in the labor market. These positions offer stability, decent wages, and benefits, helping to counteract systemic barriers to economic advancement (Madowitz, Price, & Weller, 2024). However, the availability and strength of collective bargaining rights for public-sector workers vary significantly across states, with historical roots often traced back to Southern states (CLJE Lab, 2024). The disparities in collective bargaining rights further compound existing inequalities, as access to fair wages, workplace protections, and opportunities for advancement are unevenly distributed (Wilson & Darity, 2022). Therefore, ensuring equitable access to collective bargaining rights for all public-sector workers is essential for addressing racial and economic disparities and advancing social and economic justice. By empowering workers to negotiate for better working conditions and fair compensation, collective bargaining rights play a critical role in promoting equality and opportunity within the public sector and beyond (Banerjee et al., 2021).

### **State-by-State Variations and Challenges**

The landscape of collective bargaining rights for public-sector workers in the United States is marked by significant state-by-state variations and challenges. Similarly, as Bhattacharjee and Zhang (2023) observe in the context of Bangladesh, structural inefficiencies and lack of transparency in bureaucratic processes can significantly hinder the effectiveness of public-sector governance. These laws, aimed at curtailing union influence, often inhibit workers from fully exercising their rights to collective bargaining and union representation (Baker & West, 2020). Consequently, public-sector workers in these states face obstacles in advocating for fair wages, benefits, and working conditions. Moreover, the inconsistent approach to collective bargaining rights across states exacerbates disparities in labor standards and workplace protections, posing challenges to achieving equity and fairness for all workers. Addressing these variations and challenges requires concerted efforts to protect and expand collective bargaining rights for public-sector workers nationwide, ensuring that they have the tools and protections necessary to advocate for their rights and improve their working conditions (Banerjee et al., 2021).

## **IV DATA COLLECTION, ANALYSIS, AND FINDINGS**

### **Data Collection**

This study collected data through a comprehensive review of academic literature, government reports, and case studies on collective bargaining in public sector labor-management. Sources were selected from academic databases like JSTOR and Google Scholar, using keywords such as "collective bargaining," "public sector efficiency," and "labor equity." The focus was on empirical research and theoretical analyses, while government reports provided real-world context on the legal variations in collective bargaining across states.

### **Data Analysis**

The analysis used thematic synthesis, identifying three key themes: impact on efficiency, equity and pay gaps, and challenges of legal variations. Studies showed how collective bargaining improved resource allocation, reduced wage disparities, and addressed the challenges posed by differing state laws.

### **Findings**

**Efficiency:** Collective bargaining enhances organizational efficiency by improving communication between labor and management, resolving conflicts, and supporting better workforce retention (Jones, 2019). Additionally, Bhattacharjee and Zhang (2024) underscore the transformative potential of e-governance in

streamlining processes and enhancing transparency, which parallels the goals of effective labor-management practices.

Equity: It significantly reduces wage disparities, particularly for marginalized groups, promoting a more equitable workplace (Usanmaz, 2023).

Legal Variations: Differences in state laws create uneven labor practices, with workers in states lacking bargaining rights facing lower wages and benefits, impacting morale and turnover (Elmore, 2024).

### **Addressing the Research Questions**

The findings confirm that collective bargaining improves efficiency, addresses wage inequities, and faces challenges due to state legal variations, answering the key research questions on its role in public sector labor-management dynamics.

## **V DISCUSSION**

The findings underscore the critical role of collective bargaining as a catalyst for improving both organizational efficiency and equity in public sector labor-management dynamics. Public sector organizations that incorporate robust collective bargaining practices experience numerous benefits, particularly in fostering fairer compensation systems, narrowing pay gaps, and addressing historical inequities (Madland & Rowell, 2018). These organizations see improvements in employee morale, retention, and productivity as collective bargaining empowers workers by providing a formal mechanism to negotiate their wages, benefits, and working conditions. This, in turn, cultivates a more inclusive and equitable workplace, where employees feel valued and heard.

Collective bargaining also contributes to the reduction of racial, gender, and educational disparities, particularly in historically underrepresented groups (Zavoronkova, Khattar, & Brady, 2022). By empowering employees to negotiate collectively, public sector organizations can address pay inequities that disproportionately affect minority groups, women, and workers with advanced degrees (Salas-Betsch, 2024). This leads to a more diverse and inclusive workforce where individuals from marginalized communities have better opportunities for upward mobility and fair compensation.

Nevertheless, significant challenges arise from state-level variations in collective bargaining rights, which hinder the standardization of fair labor practices across public sector organizations (García & Han, 2021). Right-to-work laws and other legal frameworks restrict the ability of employees in certain states to organize and negotiate effectively, exacerbating inequities in pay, job satisfaction, and working conditions (National Bureau of Economic Research, 2022). This disparity across states makes it difficult to implement consistent labor practices that ensure equity and efficiency in all public sector environments.

Addressing these legal and regulatory challenges will require a coordinated effort at both the state and federal levels. Policymakers, labor unions, and advocacy groups must work together to protect and extend collective bargaining rights nationwide. Such efforts are essential to ensuring that all public sector workers have the tools to advocate for fair treatment, equitable pay, and better working conditions, ultimately leading to a more efficient and just public sector (Banerjee et al., 2021).

## **VI POLICY IMPLICATIONS**

The findings offer several policy implications for public sector leaders:

- **Strengthen Collective Bargaining Rights:** Policymakers should advocate for stronger collective bargaining protections, particularly in states with restrictive right-to-work laws, to ensure more equitable workplaces.
- **Promote Union Density:** Encouraging union membership within public sector organizations can help narrow wage disparities and improve working conditions.

- Support Legal Reforms: Reforms aimed at expanding collective bargaining rights will be essential to overcoming state-level legal barriers and promoting a more consistent approach to labor relations.

## VII THEORETICAL IMPLICATIONS

### Defining a Theory

A theory provides a systematic framework for explaining and predicting phenomena based on principles or assumptions. In research, theories help organize knowledge, generate hypotheses, and offer insights into complex interactions. Creswell (2014) defines theory as a critical foundation for research, offering scaffolding to interpret relationships between variables, while Reynolds and Ceranic (2007) emphasizes the need for theories to be both testable and falsifiable, meaning their assumptions must be open to challenge through empirical data. Sutton and Staw (1995) highlight that a theory must contribute to knowledge, advancing new perspectives that deepen understanding in a given field.

### Key attributes of a theory include:

- Generalizability: A theory should extend beyond specific cases, offering broad explanations applicable across multiple contexts (Bacharach, 1989).
- Testability: It must be open to empirical testing, allowing researchers to evaluate its accuracy and validity (Popper & Popper, 2002).
- Predictive Power: A theory should predict future occurrences based on its underlying principles and established relationships (Whetten, 1989).

### Major Theories Reviewed

In examining collective bargaining and labor-management dynamics in the public sector, several theoretical frameworks emerge from the literature:

- Equity Theory (Adams, 1963): This theory postulates that individuals are motivated by perceptions of fairness in the workplace. It suggests that when employees perceive inequities in compensation or working conditions, it can negatively affect job satisfaction and productivity. Collective bargaining addresses these inequities by ensuring fair treatment through negotiated agreements.
- Social Exchange Theory (Blau, 1964): This theory explains how reciprocal relationships between employees and employers, built on trust and fairness, influence workplace dynamics. Collective bargaining fosters this exchange by enabling workers to advocate for fair wages and benefits in return for their contributions to the organization.
- Efficiency Wage Theory (Shapiro & Stiglitz, 1984): This theory suggests that paying workers above-market wages can increase efficiency by enhancing productivity and reducing turnover. Collective bargaining aligns with this theory, as it often results in improved compensation packages that incentivize better performance and job retention in the public sector.
- Human Capital Theory (Becker, 1964): This theory emphasizes the role of employee skills and education in determining productivity and earning potential. Collective bargaining plays a role in negotiating wages that reflect workers' qualifications, addressing wage gaps particularly for those with higher education levels.
- Resource Dependency Theory (Pfeffer & Salancik, 1978): This theory suggests that organizations depend on external resources to function, which can influence their strategic decisions. In public sector labor-management, collective bargaining negotiations are often shaped by resource constraints, such as budget limitations and political factors.
- Conflict Theory (Marx, 1848): This theory views the labor market as a site of conflict between different social classes, with workers and employers having inherently opposing interests. Collective

bargaining acts as a mechanism for resolving these conflicts by allowing negotiations over wages, working conditions, and benefits.

### **Synthesizing Theories and Developing an Integrated Theory**

The integration of these theories provides a comprehensive understanding of the role of collective bargaining in public sector labor-management. Equity Theory and Social Exchange Theory highlight the importance of fairness and trust, which are central to collective bargaining negotiations. Efficiency Wage Theory and Human Capital Theory emphasize how fair compensation and recognition of workers' skills lead to enhanced productivity and job satisfaction. Meanwhile, Resource Dependency Theory and Conflict Theory reflect the structural challenges and power dynamics that influence collective bargaining processes.

By synthesizing these theoretical perspectives, an integrated theory can be proposed, suggesting that collective bargaining serves as both a mechanism for resolving workplace inequities and a tool for enhancing organizational efficiency. This framework posits that effective labor-management relations in the public sector are contingent on achieving a balance between fair treatment of workers, efficient allocation of resources, and recognition of employees' contributions (Budd, Gomez, & Meltz, 2004). Moreover, it suggests that collective bargaining is instrumental in addressing structural inequalities, particularly in regions with significant variations in bargaining rights.

The integrated theory offers a multidimensional understanding of how collective bargaining simultaneously enhances equity and efficiency in public sector organizations (Peno, 2023). It acknowledges the influence of external factors, such as legal restrictions and resource dependencies, while emphasizing the role of negotiated agreements in fostering fair and productive work environments. Through this synthesis, the theoretical framework contributes to the broader discourse on labor relations by offering actionable insights for both policymakers and organizational leaders.

## **VIII CONCLUSIONS**

The study on the role of collective bargaining in public sector labor-management underscores its critical impact on both organizational efficiency and equity. Collective bargaining enhances working conditions, narrows pay gaps, promotes fair compensation, and helps address longstanding inequalities related to race, gender, and education. It empowers employees to negotiate for better wages and benefits, which fosters job satisfaction, retention, and improved productivity (Borja, 2022). However, challenges such as state-level variations in bargaining rights and the presence of right-to-work laws hinder progress toward equity and efficiency in public sector organizations.

This study concludes that collective bargaining is an essential tool for achieving equitable and efficient public sector workplaces (Barton et al., 2021). Theories such as Equity Theory, Social Exchange Theory, and Resource Dependency Theory help explain how collective bargaining positively influences labor-management dynamics. However, the research highlights the need for expanded collective bargaining rights and protections to ensure all public sector employees have the opportunity to advocate for fair treatment and improved working conditions.

### **Future Research**

Future studies should investigate the long-term effects of collective bargaining on employee productivity, job satisfaction, and retention in the public sector. Additionally, there is a need for further exploration of how variations in state-level collective bargaining rights impact equity and efficiency in public organizations, particularly in regions where right-to-work laws are prevalent. Comparative research examining the differences

between public and private sector labor-management dynamics could also offer valuable insights into how collective bargaining functions across different sectors.

Research on the intersection of collective bargaining and technological advancements in public sector organizations would provide useful knowledge on how automation, AI, and digital tools impact labor relations and bargaining processes. Lastly, future studies should delve deeper into how collective bargaining addresses specific challenges related to gender and racial pay gaps, ensuring that it remains a powerful tool for promoting social equity.

### **Limitations of the Research**

This research relies heavily on secondary literature and theoretical frameworks, which limits its ability to capture direct empirical insights from current collective bargaining practices in specific public sector organizations. Additionally, the study predominantly focuses on labor-management dynamics and may not fully address the broader economic and political factors that influence collective bargaining at the state and federal levels.

Another limitation is the lack of longitudinal data, which makes it difficult to assess the long-term impacts of collective bargaining agreements on organizational efficiency and equity. The rapidly evolving nature of labor laws and the public sector workforce also means that some findings may become outdated, emphasizing the need for continuous research and real-time data collection.

Despite these limitations, this study provides a robust foundation for understanding how collective bargaining impacts labor-management dynamics in the public sector, offering actionable insights for policymakers, organizational leaders, and labor representatives seeking to foster fair and efficient work environments.

### **REFERENCES**

- [1] Adams, J. S. (1963). *Towards an understanding of inequality*. *Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology*, 67(5), 422–436. <https://doi.org/10.1037/h0040968>
- [2] AFL-CIO. (2024). Collective bargaining. AFL-CIO. <https://aflcio.org/what-unions-do/empower-workers/collective-bargaining>
- [3] Ahuja, K. A. (2024, March 13). Guidance on Implementation of Labor-Management Forums: Executive Order on Scaling and Expanding the Use of Registered Apprenticeships in Industries and the Federal Government and Promoting Labor-Management Forums [Memorandum for Heads of Executive Departments and Agencies]. Washington, DC: United States Office of Personnel Management. <https://chcoc.gov/sites/default/files/OPM%20LMF%20Guidance%20Memo%20and%20Attachment%203-13-2024.pdf>
- [4] Baker, A., & West, T. (2020, September). Labor relations, professional perspective: ‘Right to work’ laws and impact on unionization. Bloomberg Law. <https://www.bloomberglaw.com/external/document/X8JDHS00000000/labor-relations-professional-perspective-right-to-work-laws-and->
- [5] Bacharach, S. B. (1989). Organizational theories: Some criteria for evaluation. *The Academy of Management Review*, 14(4), 496–515. <https://doi.org/10.2307/258555>
- [6] Banerjee, A., Poydock, M., McNicholas, C., Mangundayao, I., & Sait, A. (2021). *Unions are not only good for workers, they’re good for communities and for democracy; High unionization levels are associated with positive outcomes across multiple indicators of economic, personal, and democratic well-being*. Economic Policy Institute. <https://www.epi.org/publication/unions-and-well-being/>
- [7] Barton, R., Béthoux, É., Dupuy, C., Ilsøe, A., Jalette, P., Laroche, M., Navrbjerg, S. E., & Larsen, T. P. (2021). Understanding the dynamics of inequity in collective bargaining: Evidence from Australia,

- Canada, Denmark and France. *Transfer: European Review of Labour and Research*, 27(1), 113–128. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1024258920981827>
- [8] Becker, G. S. (1964). *Human Capital: A Theoretical and Empirical Analysis, with Special Reference to Education*. University of Chicago Press.
- [9] Bivens, J., Engdahl, L., Gould, E., Kroeger, T., McNicholas, C., Mishel, L., Mokhiber, Z., Shierholz, H., von Wilpert, M., Wilson, V., & Zipperer, B. (2017, August 24). How today's unions help working people: Giving workers the power to improve their jobs and unrig the economy. *Economic Policy Institute*. <https://www.epi.org/publication/how-todays-unions-help-working-people-giving-workers-the-power-to-improve-their-jobs-and-unrig-the-economy/>
- [10] Blau, P. M. (1964). *Exchange and power in social life*. Wiley.
- [11] Borja, M. (2022, October 3). Collective bargaining: A critical tool to improve school staffing, pay, and morale. The Commonwealth Institute. [https://thecommonwealthinstitute.org/tci\\_research/collective-bargaining-a-critical-tool-to-improve-school-staffing-pay-and-morale/](https://thecommonwealthinstitute.org/tci_research/collective-bargaining-a-critical-tool-to-improve-school-staffing-pay-and-morale/)
- [12] Brunner, E. J., & Ju, A. (2019). "State collective bargaining laws and public-sector pay." *Industrial and Labor Relations Review*, 72(2), 480-508.
- [13] Budd, J. W., Gomez, R., & Meltz, N. M. (2004). Why a balance is best: The pluralist industrial relations paradigm of balancing competing interests. In B. E. Kaufman (Ed.), *Theoretical perspectives on work and the employment relationship* (Research Volume). Industrial Relations Research Association.
- [14] CLJE Lab. (2024, September 1). Building worker power in cities & states: State constitutions and public sector collective bargaining rights. *Harvard Law School Center for Labor and a Just Economy*. <https://clje.law.harvard.edu/publication/building-worker-power-in-cities-states/state-constitutions-and-public-sector-collective-bargaining-rights/>
- [15] Creswell, J. W. (2009). *Research design: Qualitative, quantitative, and mixed methods approaches* (3rd ed.). SAGE Publications India Pvt. Ltd. [https://www.ucg.ac.me/skladiste/blog\\_609332/objava\\_105202/fajlovi/Creswell.pdf](https://www.ucg.ac.me/skladiste/blog_609332/objava_105202/fajlovi/Creswell.pdf)
- [16] Darvas, Z., Gotti, G., & Sekut, K. (2023). Collective bargaining is associated with lower income inequality. *Analysis* 04/2023, *Bruegel*. <https://www.bruegel.org/analysis/collective-bargaining-associated-lower-income-inequality>
- [17] Economic Policy Institute. (2021, June 17). Collective bargaining rights help narrow the pay gap for local government workers [Press release]. <https://www.epi.org/press/collective-bargaining-rights-help-narrow-the-pay-gap-for-local-government-workers/>
- [18] eLeaP Editorial Team. (2023, July 19). Collective bargaining: Empowering workers for fair negotiations. *eLeaP*. <https://www.eleapsoftware.com/glossary/collective-bargaining-empowering-workers-for-fair-negotiations/>
- [19] Elmore, A. (2024). Confronting structural inequality in state labor law. *Maryland Law Review*, 83(4). <https://digitalcommons.law.umaryland.edu/mlr/vol83/iss4/4>
- [20] Folbre, N. (2021, March 10). Gender inequality and bargaining in the U.S. labor market: Why care work is undervalued. *Economic Policy Institute*. <https://www.epi.org/unequalpower/publications/gender-and-bargaining-in-the-u-s-labor-market/>
- [21] García, E., & Han, E. (2021, April 29). The impact of changes in public-sector bargaining laws on districts' spending on teacher compensation. *Economic Policy Institute*. <https://www.epi.org/publication/the-impact-of-changes-in-public-sector-bargaining-laws-on-districts-spending-on-teacher-compensation/>
- [22] ICWUC. (2024, April 30). The power of collective bargaining. *International Chemical Workers Union Council*. <https://www.icwuc.org/the-power-of-collective-bargaining/>
-

- [23] International Labour Organization. (1981). *C154 - Collective Bargaining Convention, 1981 (No. 154)*. [https://www.ilo.org/dyn/normlex/en/f?p=NORMLEXPUB:12100:0::NO::P12100\\_INSTRUMENT\\_ID:312299](https://www.ilo.org/dyn/normlex/en/f?p=NORMLEXPUB:12100:0::NO::P12100_INSTRUMENT_ID:312299)
- [24] Jones, R. (2019, April 9). Collective bargaining can reduce turnover and improve public services in Colorado. *Economic Analysis and Research Network (EARN)*. <https://www.coloradowins.org/img/uploads/earn-rjones-collective-bargaining-can-reduce-turnover-and-improve-public-services-in-colorado.pdf>
- [25] Keefe, J. H. (2015, October 16). Laws enabling public-sector collective bargaining have not led to excessive public-sector pay. *Economic Policy Institute*. <https://www.epi.org/publication/laws-enabling-public-sector-collective-bargaining-have-not-led-to-excessive-public-sector-pay/>
- [26] Kenton, W. (2024, March 17). Collective bargaining. Investopedia. <https://www.investopedia.com/terms/c/collective-bargaining.asp>
- [27] Kochan, T. A. (2011). *Transforming Public Sector Labor Management Relations: A Call to Action*. MIT Sloan School of Management, Institute for Work and Employment Research. [https://www.law.northwestern.edu/research/faculty/clbe/workingpapers/documents/kochan\\_an\\_alternative\\_to\\_wisconsin.pdf](https://www.law.northwestern.edu/research/faculty/clbe/workingpapers/documents/kochan_an_alternative_to_wisconsin.pdf)
- [28] Kochan, T. A., & Kimball, W. T. (2019). *Unions, Worker Voice, and Management Practices: Implications for a High-Productivity, High-Wage Economy*. The Russell Sage Foundation Journal of the Social Sciences, 5(5), 88-108. <https://doi.org/10.7758/RSF.2019.5.5.05>
- [29] Madland, D., & Rowell, A. (2018, June 28). Combating pay gaps with unions and expanded collective bargaining. *Center for American Progress Action Fund*. <https://www.americanprogressaction.org/article/combating-pay-gaps-unions-expanded-collective-bargaining/>
- [30] Madowitz, M., Price, A., & Weller, C. E. (2024). Public work provides economic security for Black families and communities. Advancing racial equity and justice, building an economy for all, Economic Justice. <https://www.americanprogress.org/article/public-work-provides-economic-security-black-families-communities/>
- [31] Marx, K., & Engels, F. (1848). *Manifesto of the Communist Party*. London: Communist League.
- [32] Mishel, L., Rhinehart, L., & Windham, L. (2020). *Explaining the erosion of private-sector unions: How corporate practices and legal changes have undercut the ability of workers to organize and bargain*. Washington, DC: Economic Policy Institute. <https://www.epi.org/unequalpower/publications/private-sector-unions-corporate-legal-erosion/>
- [33] Morrissey, M. (2021). *Unions can reduce the public-sector pay gap; Collective bargaining rights and local government workers*. Economic Policy Institute. <https://www.epi.org/publication/unions-public-sector-pay-gap/>
- [34] Morrissey, M., & Sherer, J. (2022, March 14). *Unions can reduce the public-sector pay gap: Collective bargaining rights and local government workers*. Economic Policy Institute. <https://www.epi.org/publication/public-sector-pay-gap-co-va/>
- [35] Morrissey, M., & Sherer, J. (2024, August 29). The public-sector pay gap is widening. Unions help shrink it. Economic Policy Institute. <https://www.epi.org/publication/widening-public-sector-pay-gap/>
- [36] National Bureau of Economic Research. (2022, August 1). Impacts of right-to-work laws on unionization and wages. *NBER Digest*. <https://www.nber.org/digest/202208/impacts-right-work-laws-unionization-and-wages>

- [37] National Labor Relations Board. (n.d.). *Interfering with employee rights (Section 7 & 8(a)(1))*. <https://www.nlr.gov/about-nlr/rights-we-protect/the-law/interfering-with-employee-rights-section-7-8a1>
- [38] Nolan, D. R. (1978). Public sector collective bargaining: Defining the federal role. *Cornell Law Review*, 63(3), 419–459. <http://scholarship.law.cornell.edu/clr/vol63/iss3/3>
- [39] Peno, J. (2023). Exploring the influence of county government collective bargaining on high-performance work practices (Doctoral dissertation, University of Massachusetts Global). UMass Global Dissertations. [https://digitalcommons.umassglobal.edu/edd\\_dissertations/503](https://digitalcommons.umassglobal.edu/edd_dissertations/503)
- [40] Pfeffer, J., & Salancik, G. R. (1978). *The External Control of Organizations: A Resource Dependence Perspective*. Harper & Row.
- [41] Popper, K., & Popper, K. (2002). *The logic of scientific discovery* (2nd ed.). Routledge. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9780203994627>
- [42] Reynolds, S. J., & Ceranic, T. L. (2007). The effects of moral judgment and moral identity on moral behavior: An empirical examination of the moral individual. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 92(6), 1610–1624. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0021-9010.92.6.1610>
- [43] Salas-Betsch, I. (2024, March 14). Protecting and strengthening the right to organize. *Center for American Progress*. <https://www.americanprogress.org/article/playbook-for-the-advancement-of-women-in-the-economy/protecting-and-strengthening-the-right-to-organize/>
- [44] Shapiro, C., & Stiglitz, J. E. (1984). Equilibrium unemployment as a worker discipline device. *American Economic Review*, 74(3), 433–444. <https://ideas.repec.org/a/aea/aecrev/v74y1984i3p433-44.html>
- [45] Siegel, K. (2016, July 28). NLRB requires specificity in management-rights clauses. *Littler, News & Analysis*. <https://www.littler.com/publication-press/publication/nlr-requires-specificity-management-rights-clauses>
- [46] Sutton, R. I., & Staw, B. M. (1995). What theory is not. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 40(3), 371–384. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2393788>
- [47] Usanmaz, O. (2023, April 20). What is diversity, equity, and inclusion?: Challenges, strategies, and use cases. *Qooper*. <https://www.qooper.io/blog/what-is-diversity-equity-and-inclusion>
- [48] Whetten, D. A. (1989). *What constitutes a theoretical contribution?* *Academy of Management Review*, 14(4), 490–495. <https://doi.org/10.5465/amr.1989.4308372>
- [49] Wilson, V., & Darity, W., Jr. (2022, March 25). Understanding Black-white disparities in labor market outcomes requires models that account for persistent discrimination and unequal bargaining power. Economic Policy Institute. <https://www.epi.org/unequalpower/publications/understanding-black-white-disparities-in-labor-market-outcomes/>
- [50] Yang, J. R., & Liu, J. (2021, January 19). Strengthening accountability for discrimination, confronting fundamental power imbalances in the employment relationship. Economic Policy Institute. <https://www.epi.org/unequalpower/publications/strengthening-accountability-for-discrimination-confronting-fundamental-power-imbalances-in-the-employment-relationship/>
- [51] Zavoronkova, M., Khattar, R., & Brady, M. (2022, March 29). Occupational segregation in America. *Center for American Progress*. <https://www.americanprogress.org/article/occupational-segregation-in-america/>
- [52] Zhang, M., & Bhattacharjee, B. (2023). Challenges Prevailing in Bangladesh Civil Service: A Brief Analysis. *Public Administration Research (PAR)*, 12(2). <https://ccsenet.org/journal/index.php/par/issue/view/0/2932>
- [53] Zhang, M. and Bhattacharjee, B. (2024) “Evaluating The Impact of E-Governance on Public Service Delivery: A Case Study of Bangladesh”, *Malaysian Journal of Social Sciences and Humanities (MJSSH)*, 9(9), p. e002960. doi: 10.47405/mjssh.v9i9.2960. <https://www.msocialsciences.com/index.php/mjssh/article/view/2960>